UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America)			
	v.) Casa No.	7:15-CR-53	-BO-3	
	ARTURO ROJAS-MARBAN) Case 140.			
	Defendant)			
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL					
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Batthe defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts	
		indings of Fact			
□ (1) TI	he defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 31	42(f)(1) and h	as previously been convicted	
o	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local off	ense that would have	ve been a fede	ral offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or me		offense listed	in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
	☐ an offense for which the maximum senten	ce is death or life in	nprisonment.		
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or r	nore is prescri	bed in	
				.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	d been convicted of), or comparable sta	two or more pate or local of	orior federal offenses fenses:	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:			
	□ a minor victim				
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or d	estructive device or	r any other dai	ngerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250			
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commfederal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defe	endant was on	release pending trial for a	
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed sin	ce the	f conviction	\square the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding	g (1).			
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)			
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the def	endant has committed	ted an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is pres	scribed in		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·			

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.				
	A	lternative Findings (B)			
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defende	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
1		ement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by			
万 Ba	 clear and convincing evidence sed on the defendant's waiver of his/her right 	•			
	r the reasons indicated below, there is no corsure the defendant's appearance and/or safet. The nature of the charges. The apparent strength of the government's. The indication of substance abuse. The defendant's criminal history. Other:	The lack of stable employment			
	Part III—	Directions Regarding Detention			
in a correpending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent pra appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On corney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility arshal for a court appearance.			
Date:	06/15/2015	Le White Judge's Signature			
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ			
		Name and Title			